

2016I: Q6 MHD

$$(a.) SW = \frac{1}{2} \int dV \left[\gamma P (\nabla \cdot \xi)^2 + (\xi \cdot \nabla P) \nabla \cdot \xi + (\xi \cdot g) (\nabla \cdot \rho \xi) \right]$$

$$2SW = \int dV \left[\gamma P (\nabla \cdot \xi) + \xi \cdot \nabla P + \rho \xi \cdot g \right] (\nabla \cdot \xi) + (\xi \cdot g) (\xi \cdot \nabla \rho)$$

$= \rho \nabla \cdot \xi + \xi \cdot \nabla \rho$

then $\frac{d(SW)}{d(\nabla \cdot \xi)} = \frac{1}{2} \int dV \left[2\gamma P (\nabla \cdot \xi) + \xi \cdot \nabla P + \rho \xi \cdot g \right] = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla \cdot \xi = - \frac{\xi \cdot \nabla P + \rho \xi \cdot g}{2\gamma P}$$

note that $\nabla P = \rho g \Rightarrow \boxed{\nabla \cdot \xi = - \xi \cdot \frac{\rho \vec{g}}{\gamma P}} = - \xi \cdot \nabla \ln \rho^{1/\gamma}$

(b.) For stability need $SW > 0$

$$SW = \frac{1}{2} \int dV \left[- \xi \cdot \rho \vec{g} + \xi \cdot \nabla P + \rho \xi \cdot g \right] \nabla \cdot \xi + (\xi \cdot g) (\xi \cdot \nabla \rho)$$

$g = \frac{\nabla P}{\rho}$

$$0 < \int dV (\xi \cdot \nabla P) \left(- \xi \cdot \frac{\rho \vec{g}}{\gamma P} \right) + \frac{1}{\rho} (\xi \cdot \nabla \rho) (\xi \cdot \nabla \rho)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\rho} (\xi \cdot \nabla \rho) (\xi \cdot \nabla \rho) > (\xi \cdot \frac{\nabla P}{\gamma P}) (\xi \cdot \nabla P)$$

$$\xi \cdot \nabla \ln \rho > \frac{1}{\gamma} \xi \cdot \nabla \ln P$$

← if $\xi \cdot \nabla P > 0$
" $\xi \cdot \rho g$ "

$$\Rightarrow \nabla \ln \rho^{1/\gamma} > \nabla \ln P \Rightarrow 0 > \nabla \ln \left(\frac{P}{\rho^{1/\gamma}} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{so } \boxed{\nabla \left(\frac{P}{\rho^{1/\gamma}} \right) \cdot \vec{g} < 0}$$

(c.) $P V^\gamma \sim \frac{P}{B^\gamma} = \text{const}$ but $P = B^2 / 2\mu_0$ so need $\boxed{\gamma = 2}$

(d.) $\rho g = \nabla P_B \Rightarrow \gamma = 2$ so $\nabla \left(\frac{P}{\rho^\gamma} \right) \cdot \vec{g} < 0$

becomes $\nabla \left(\frac{P}{\rho^2} \right) \cdot \vec{g} < 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{\nabla \left(\frac{B^2}{\rho^2} \right) \cdot \vec{g} < 0}$

(e.) $\vec{Q} \equiv \nabla \times (\vec{\xi} \times \vec{B})$

$= \xi (\nabla \cdot \vec{B}) - B (\nabla \cdot \vec{\xi}) + (\vec{B} \cdot \nabla) \vec{\xi} - (\vec{\xi} \cdot \nabla) \vec{B}$ ↗ line bending = 0

$= -B (\nabla \cdot \vec{\xi}) - (\vec{\xi} \cdot \nabla) B$

$= + \vec{\xi} \cdot \left(\frac{\rho g}{\gamma P} \vec{B} \right) - \vec{\xi} \cdot \nabla B$

$\vec{\xi} \cdot (\vec{B}) \ll \vec{B}$ since $\vec{\xi}$ is a small perturbation? Not sure what else to do.

Line bending decreases stability since plasma can slide down field line.

If we formally set $Q=0$

$\Rightarrow \nabla \cdot \vec{\xi} = - \frac{\vec{\xi} \cdot \nabla B}{B} = - \vec{\xi} \cdot \nabla \ln B$

$\nabla \cdot \vec{\xi} = \frac{\vec{\xi} \cdot \rho g}{\gamma P}$
 $= - \vec{\xi} \cdot \frac{\nabla P}{\gamma P}$
 $= - \vec{\xi} \cdot \nabla \ln P^{1/\gamma}$

so with $B \sim P^{1/2}$, $Q \sim 0$

$P = \frac{B^2}{2\mu_0} \Rightarrow B \sim P^{1/2}$ and $\gamma = 2$

so the $|Q| \ll B$ assumption is justified.